



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/627,245

07/25/2003

James A. Thomson

960296.99179

4191

7590

03/04/2008

Nicholas J. Seay
Quarles & Brady LLP
P.O. Box 2113
Madison, WI 53701-2113

EXAMINER

SULLIVAN, DANIEL M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1636

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/04/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/627,245	Applicant(s) THOMSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel M. Sullivan	Art Unit 1636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daniel M. Sullivan. (3) Bennett Berson.

(2) Dr. Timothy Kamp. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gepstein et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The parties discussed the outstanding rejection under 35 USC 103. In particular, Dr. Timothy Kamp, described the technology and distinctions between the cultures of the prior art and cultures described in the application. The discussion focused on ways to establish that the prior art cultures do not have the properties required by the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Daniel M Sullivan/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.